Abstract

Background. From 1 to 4 fixing screws can be used clinically for rigid fixation of a mandibular condyle fracture, but contrarily, there is an opinion that insertion of even 3 screws in this region is technically impossible. On the other hand, the application of a single screw is the simplest technique. But if it is rigid?

Objectives. Evaluation of the rigidity of titanium lag screw fixation in a mandible high condyle neck fracture.

Material and methods. A numerical analysis was performed using a model of a high condylar neck fracture. Fixation by 1, 2 or 3 lag screws were tested. The equivalent stress (S) distribution and displacement (D) of the fracture fragments were calculated.

Results. S = 464 MPa in 1-screw fixation and was spread widely in the condylar head (137 MPa) and downward in the distal mandibular fragment. In the 2-screw fixation, the anterior screw was more stressed (S = 319 MPa). Stress concentration in the condylar head was observed around the anterior screw (211 MPa) and spread toward the sigmoid notch in the distal bone fragment. The best biomechanical situation was in the 3-screw fixation (S = 222 MPa). The area of stress in the condylar head was limited and low (108 MPa), and the distribution of equivalent stress in the rest of the mandible was close to normal during mastication. Normal bone elasticity presented in the condyle neck was 9.6 ±3.7 μm during the occlusal load. In 1 screw D = 558 ±245 μm, for 2 screws D = 218 ±81 μm, and for the 3-screw fixation D = 217 ±144 μm. Two and 3 screw fixations were statistically better than the 1 screw rigid fixation (p < 0.001).

Conclusions. Open fixation by two 2.0 mm diameter lag screws is sufficiently rigid. The use of more screws seems to be unnecessary.
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Fractures of the mandible are the most common injuries in maxillofacial traumatology and its condyle fracture is the most frequent one.1 As far as treatment is concerned, mandibular condyle fractures are still treated conservatively.2 However, open internal rigid fixation (ORIF) treatment allows restoration of vertical ramus height, i.e. prevention of occlusal disorders, anatomical position of the bone fragments and the disc, physiological function of the disk and condyle3 as well as that of the lateral pterygoid muscle that allows immediate functional movement of the jaw, avoids ankylosis of the temporomandibular joint induced by trauma and yields a pain-free result for the patient.4,5 Several techniques have been proposed to reduce and fix the fractures, such as standard bone screws and plates and a long lag screw, by promising biomechanical fixation.6–11 On one hand, the highest neck fractures (just lower than a C-head fracture)12 extend below the condylar head, leaving a small neck fragment connected to the head that enables the possible application of lag or positioning screws (Fig. 1), or on the other hand, the application of a dedicated plate. Investigation of the first fixation technique is the subject of this study, due to its high effectiveness.13 The second issue is how many screws should be applied. One, 2 or 3 fixing screws can be used clinically (Fig. 2). There is the opinion that insertion of 3 screws in this region is technically impossible.6 Also, studies on the use of bioresorbable osteosynthesis materials for condyle fractures should be mentioned.14–17 They lead to the conclusion that resorbable materials do not yet seem as strong as titanium ones. Titanium alloy seems to be the only available material that can bear occlusal loading.14,17 The only exception is a result obtained by Xin’s18 team in finite element numerical simulation, but there the maximal equivalent stress observed in 2-screw type B head fracture fixation was as low as only 2.8 kPa per screw, which would allow for the application of any kind of polymeric long screw. But comparing to other papers14,15 it seems to expose their numerical model limitations.

The objective of this study was the evaluation of the rigidity of titanium lag screw fixation in a mandible high condyle neck fracture.

**Material and methods**

The design of a screw of 16-mm length combined with a diameter of 2.0 mm was used in this study. The lag screw was designed to possess the top 40% of its length covered by the thread, and the remaining part of the shaft was smooth. A high condylar neck fracture was fixed with stabilization by 1, 2 or 3 lag screws made of medical titanium alloy grade 5 (Ti6Al4V). The Young’s modulus and Poisson coefficient were 104 GPa and 0.3, respectively. The screws were applied to the right-hand side of the mandible.

The boundary conditions (Fig. 3) were based on the series of previous studies.19–22 The loads were applied for a mouth minimally interincisal open (5 mm)19 that induces the most stress in the condyle. Five main muscle force and action directions were developed according to the literature:20,21 main temporalis action: $X = 0.064\ N$, $Y = 0.37\ N$, $Z = -0.13\ N$ and medial temporal action: $X = 0.97\ N$, $Y = 5.68\ N$, $Z = -7.44\ N$, deep masseter action: $X = 7.776\ N$, $Y = 127.23\ N$, $Z = 22.68\ N$, superficial masseter action: $X = 12.873\ N$, $Y = 183.5\ N$, $Z = 12.11\ N$, action of medial pterygoid: $X = 140.38\ N$, $Y = 237.8\ N$, $Z = -77.3\ N$. The force and direction were based on magnetic resonance data of humans.19 The models used tetrahedral linear elements with 3,200,000 degrees of freedom. The nonlinear strain solver and material properties were linear. Dentition in such models had no biomechanical value according to the literature,19,21 thus it was omitted. The cortical bone Young’s modulus 14.7 GPa, cancellous bone 0.7 GPa and a Poisson coefficient of 0.3 were used according to experimental validations.21–23 Assumptions for fracture were:

1) the high condylar neck fracture (AO classification code: 91.P.m.N0)12 was fixed with 1, 2 or 3 lateral oblique lag screws (Fig. 4–5),

2) the gap between bone segments was 0.32 mm,
3) the contact between the screws and bony segments was defined as a bonded type in the threaded region and a sliding one in the non-threaded screw core (lag screw action),

4) the contact between the surfaces of the 2 segments was defined according to Xin.18

The study was done in Ansys® R14.5 (Ansys, Inc., Canonsburg, USA). The stress and displacement in the fracture line were analyzed on the external surface of the mandible as well as on both surfaces of the fracture fissure. After loading, the distance between fracture fragments increased in all 3 fixation methods. A Kruskal-Wallis test was performed. The method of fixation was established as the factor and relative displacement of fracture fragments as the dependent variable. Statgraphics Centurion XVI (Statpoint Technologies, Inc., Warrenton, Virginia, USA) was used, and statistical significance was indicated by p < 0.05.

Results

After occlusal loading, calculations revealed in a normal mandible (Fig. 3) a maximum stress of $\sigma_{\text{red max}} = 72$ MPa located in the alveolar ridge. On one hand, the stress in the condyle region can be described as a response to a muscular force in the glenoid fossa, and on the other hand, as compression (in the posterior border) and traction (in the sigmoid notch). Thirteen megapascals of compression were observed in the posterior part of the ascending ramus, with a maximum at half of the height. A higher equivalent stress, approx. 25 MPa, was detected in the traction region, i.e. the sigmoid notch. The regional maximum was located there in the subtle medial aspect.

All fracture models fixed by lag screws (Fig. 4–5) demonstrated that after loading, the distribution of stress was concentrated in the most anterior screw. Distribution of the equivalent stress according to the Huber-Hencky-von Mises theory of the FEA model of the mandible affected...
with a high condylar fracture revealed the following maximum equivalent stress in the bone: 364 MPa, 226 MPa and 197 MPa for 1-2- and 3-2.0 mm-screw stabilization, respectively (Fig. 4). The maximum equivalent stress \( \sigma_{\text{red max}} = 464 \) MPa in 1-screw fixation (located in the screw material) was spread widely in the condylar head (\( \sigma_{\text{red}} = 137 \) MPa) and downward in the distal (i.e. main) mandibular fragment.

Mechanical improvement was noticed in the 2-screw fixation. The lower screw was more stressed but in a decreased amount (\( \sigma_{\text{red max}} = 319 \) MPa) compared to 1-screw fixation. Stress concentration in the condylar head was observed around the lower screws (\( \sigma_{\text{red}} = 211 \) MPa) and spread towards the sigmoid notch in the distal fragment. The most valuable biomechanical situation among the tested models was the 3-screw fixation of the fracture. It was only \( \sigma_{\text{red max}} = 222 \) MPa located in the lowest screws in the inferior aspect. The area of stress in the condylar head was limited and small (\( \sigma_{\text{red}} = 108 \) MPa), and the distribution of the equivalent stress in the rest of the mandible was close to normal unaffected bone during mastication.

Normal bone elasticity present in the condyle neck was 9.6 ±3.7 μm during an occlusal load (Fig. 3). It had the lowest displacement among all the tested models, with high significance (p < 0.001). The mean relative displacement of fracture fragments along the fracture line with 1 screw applied for ORIF was 558 ±245 μm, while for 2 screws, the displacement was 218 ±81 μm, and for 3 screws, it was 217 ±144 μm. Detailed 4 direction displacement is shown in Table 1 and Fig. 6. Thus, the one screw fixation was the worst method for fracture stabilization. Both 2- as well as 3-screw fixations were similarly efficient stabilization methods. Moreover, both 2- and 3-screw fixations were statistically better than 1-screw rigid fixation (test statistic = 23.69, p < 0.001).

### Discussion

First, it should be noted that “there is no defined anatomic border line between the condylar neck and the condylar head”\(^{12}\). This can lead to the intuitive classification of all fractures that are fixed by lag/positioning screws as head fractures, but there are neck fractures that can be osteosynthesized with plates or lag screws (Fig. 1). A fracture “re-maintaining caudal to the condylar head reference line” is a neck fracture.\(^{12}\) That important line is located just below the “bony substance of the lateral condylar head” pole zone,\(^{12}\) and fractures located below can be fixed by lag screws.

Surgical interventions using mini-plate osteosynthesis and a lag screw can be used for fixation of condyle fractures. Surgical osteosynthesis is especially recommended in patients with high condylar fractures or displaced fractures to achieve ideal anatomy and function.\(^{9,24–27}\) Ideas for rigid internal fracture fixation in the condylar area (base, low neck, high neck, and head) have significantly changed in the last decade,\(^{25–29}\) but the issue of optimal stabilization of the reduced fracture remains unclear because even classical fixations can have unknown biomechanical (mathematical) solutions,\(^{30}\) and moreover some authors suggest that 3 lag screws with 2.0 mm diameters are impossible to insert in to a condyle fracture.\(^{6}\) Thus, the topic of condylar fracture osteosynthesis remains highly controversial and challenging.

The major principle in all fracture treatments is perfect reduction, but generally, it cannot be maintained post-operationally without suitable fixing materials.\(^{14}\) Fractures of fixing materials have been described in the literature.\(^{31}\) It is hypothesized that the main reason is improper reduction.\(^{32}\) Lag screw fixation is very stable\(^{7}\) and reduction is much simpler compared to plate application. Thus, reduction and fixation are involved with each other. Therefore, the crucial issue is how to achieve reduction and fixation effectively in high condylar fractures. It has been possible to avoid complications and achieve proper results in the treatment of fractures of the mandibular condyle by applying lag screws as individual anatomical characteristics are considered\(^{32}\) and application of screws are appropriate for the occlusal load.\(^{14}\) Previously, titanium screws with diameters of 2.0 mm, 1.7 mm and 1.2 mm were tested in their physiological limits,\(^{14}\) and the smallest one was rejected as material that was not capable of bearing occlusal loadings up to 200 N, while there is no doubt that the 2.0 mm system is adequate for fixation.
With lag screw application, it has been shown that the major factor in the extent of the trauma relating to surgical access was the reduction of fracture fragments. The method ensured stable fixation of the fracture with a minimum amount of osteosynthesis material while reducing the operative time. This was confirmed by computerized tomography and magnetic resonance imaging. Lateral lag screw insertion and articular disk reduction are mandatory in anatomical and functional recovery of the temporomandibular joint in patients with condylar process fractures. Moreover, lag screws can be more easily inserted if one could apply longer screws in the proximal fragment. The thickness of bone in the distal fragment (especially in the sigmoid notch region) does not permit using screws longer than 6 mm in length, but it is worth using longer screws in the proximal fragment. The thinnest bone is located in the lateral part of the distal bone fragment, i.e. the closest distance between the condyle bone surface and the screw, but it is within safety limits (minimum 3 mm of cortical bone). Furthermore, in the distal bone fragment, the highest stress is located on the medial side.

After application of 3 lag screws, high condylar neck fracture fragments can be reduced properly. As was previously mentioned, the difference between the rigidity of fixation in plated cases can be explained as the dependence on a number of fixing screws in the proximal fragment (i.e. condylar head). The 2-screw modality is the weakest method for fracture fixation, while 3-screw is better and 4-screw is the strongest rigid fixation by a plate. In contrast, the application of 2 lag screws is nearly the same as 3 lag screws as far as bone fragment displacement is considered. This can be explained by the number of the remaining screws in the case of plates. In contrast to a lag screw, where there is a maximum of 3 screws, there are many fixing points in a plate screwed to the distal fragment. Moreover, bearing all this in mind, it would be better if one could apply longer screws in the proximal fragment. The thickness of bone in the distal fragment (especially in the sigmoid notch region) does not permit using screws longer than 6 mm in length, but it is worth using longer screws in the proximal fragment or, even better, the lag/headless screw if possible.

The application of 1 lag screw gives very rigid fixation compared to even a very stiff plate. Experience with 15 mm or 17 mm lag screws showed that two 2.0 mm lag screws were enough for stable osteosynthesis, but a single screw was not adequate because of its inability to eliminate the rotation of reduced bone fragments. The main advantages of lag screw fixation are the greatest stability, short surgery duration and reduced articular scarification. The usage of mini-plate requires a wider surgical approach, denudes a bigger bone surface and is more complicated surgically. Moreover, the morbidity to soft tissue is lower in the lag screw applications. In contrast to long, all-length-threaded positioning screws that can maintain the spacing between bone segments, a lag screw has the advantage of tightening bone segments to each other.

On one hand, increasing the fixing screw number improves the rigidity of bone fragments. Moreover, bearing all this in mind, it would be better if one could apply longer screws in the proximal fragment.

Open fixation in a high condylar neck fracture by 2.0 mm diameter lag screws is appropriately rigid. The use of 3 screws is possible but gives only minimal improvements, and the clinical application is much more difficult than that with 2 screws; thus, such fixation seems unnecessary.

Conclusions

Open fixation in a high condylar neck fracture by 2.0 mm diameter lag screws is appropriately rigid. The use of 3 screws is possible but gives only minimal improvements, and the clinical application is much more difficult than that with 2 screws; thus, such fixation seems unnecessary.
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