Abstract

Background. Bitewing radiograph is one of three types of intraoral X-rays. It shows the crowns of upper and lower teeth at the same time and their marginal periodontium and it is more often used for the imaging of posterior teeth than incisors and canines. The main indication is the diagnosis of caries on the proximal surfaces, but also to evaluate the quality of dental fillings and the follow-up status of marginal periodontium.

Objectives. The aim of this study was to verify dentists’ knowledge of this kind of imaging, the awareness of the indications for its use and the frequency of referral for such examinations.

Material and methods. A survey was conducted among 100 dentists working in Lublin and its surroundings. The questions included years of professional experience, possessing a specialization, frequency of use of bitewings in diagnosis and checking the knowledge of the radiograph’s anatomy and indications. The data was statistically analyzed.

Results. Of 100 respondents, 57 people said that they had never referred any patient for a bitewing radiograph. As many as 79 doctors have not used such an examination in their workplace. There was neither a significant correlation between completed specialization and taking radiographs by dentists in their workplace (p = 0.39), nor knowledge of the anatomy of bitewing radiographs (p = 0.43). For 26 respondents, bitewing is synonymous with occlusal radiograph, and 92.3% of them have work experience of more than 10 years. As many as 58% of the respondents did not know the indications to perform bitewing examination.

Conclusions. The results of this survey show that dentists do not recommend bitewing examinations despite its obvious advantages. This may be due to the fact that many patients’ dental caries are already very advanced, thus frequently producing inflammatory changes of the apical periodontium, which are visible on periapical radiographs, but not in bitewings.
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Tooth decay is one of the most common diseases in the world caused by bacteria. The challenge is to diagnose it properly, especially when it concerns early carious lesions on proximal surfaces. This is not easy in practice, because tooth caries develop slowly and do not produce any symptoms in the early stages. As carious lesions are often present on the proximal surfaces, it is recommended to perform not only a visual and clinical examination but also use bitewing X-rays. Other guidelines for taking such radiographs are examinations to determine if restorations are correct and to examine the periodontium.

On a properly taken X-ray, the crowns of both upper and lower teeth and also their cervical areas are visible at the same time, but it is not possible to demonstrate root apices and periapical area (Fig. 1a–b). The combination of these two methods significantly improves the chances of proper diagnosis. If a dental caries is discovered early enough, it can easily be cured and in this way invasive treatment and excessive destruction of tooth hard tissue can probably be avoided.

Radiography increases the sensitivity of the visual examination, which is why it is now considered the best performing test available for discovering dental caries on proximal surfaces. Bitewing X-rays are the best method in this kind of diagnosis; they have higher sensitivity and specificity compared to other techniques. This method is a very useful diagnostic tool used in everyday dental practice.

The aim of the paper is to verify dentists’ knowledge of bitewing radiographs, the awareness of the indications for its use and the frequency of referral for such examinations.

Material and methods

The material consisted of questionnaires filled out by 100 dentists working in Lublin (Poland) and its surroundings. The questions took into consideration such factors as seniority, having a specialization and the frequency of using bitewing X-rays in diagnosis. The questions also regarded the knowledge of radiographic anatomy and indications for using bitewing X-ray (Fig. 2).

The data collected was analyzed by means of STATISTICA software for Windows. The confidence level was 95% and the results with a probability value of p < 0.05 were regarded as significant.

Results

Out of the 100 dentists taking part in the survey, 57 said that they had never ordered a bitewing radiographic examination. No statistical differences were observed between the work experience of the surveyed and the frequency of referring patients for examination (p = 0.41), as well as taking the radiographs themselves (p = 0.21). Seventy-nine doctors have never used bitewing X-ray in their workplace. Forty-two percent of the dentists answered correctly about the structures visible in bitewings. There was a significant relationship between the period of work and knowledge of anatomy (p = 0.0001), and 58% of the people who took part in the survey did not know the specific indications for bitewing X-rays (Table 1).

For 26 of those surveyed, there is no difference between bitewing and occlusal X-rays and 92.3% of them had worked longer than 10 years. The period of professional experience was statistically correlated with correct distinction between the two types of radiographs (p = 0.00003). Ten percent of doctors said that they did not know that there was a differ-
The visibility of proximal dental caries on bitewing radiographs depends on many factors, such as the position of the tooth in the bone, advancement of the caries, settings of the X-ray tube, and the superposition of normal anatomical structures and artefacts. An example of an artefact is the so-called cervical burnout, which is located in a cervical region of premolar teeth and molar teeth and is due to uneven thickness of the tooth and thus unequal attenuation of the X-ray beam.

The main issue with bitewing radiographs is that patients feel uncomfortable during the X-ray examination, especially taken by means of direct digital sensors – the so-called “solid” ones. This discomfort can be reduced by using conventional films or digital sensors that have a more ergonomic shape. Attempts to improve the quality of X-ray images have led to the introduction of positioning devices in their work place (p < 0.39), nor in their knowledge of the anatomy for bitewing radiographs (p < 0.43).

**Discussion**

The visibility of proximal dental caries on bitewing radiographs depends on many factors, such as the position of the tooth in the bone, advancement of the caries, settings of the X-ray tube, and the superposition of normal anatomical structures and artefacts. An example of an artefact is the so-called cervical burnout, which is located in a cervical region of premolar teeth and molar teeth and is due to uneven thickness of the tooth and thus unequal attenuation of the X-ray beam.

The main issue with bitewing radiographs is that patients feel uncomfortable during the X-ray examination, especially taken by means of direct digital sensors – the so-called “solid” ones. This discomfort can be reduced by using conventional films or digital sensors that have a more ergonomic shape. Attempts to improve the quality of X-ray images have led to the introduction of positioning devices in their work place (p < 0.39), nor in their knowledge of the anatomy for bitewing radiographs (p < 0.43).

To improve the technique of bitewing radiography and also make it more popular, scientists are trying to minimize the radiation risk connected to its usage. It has been proven recently that lowering the peak voltage of the intraoral X-ray tube from 70 kV to 60 kV has no negative effects on the quality of the picture and, indirectly, on the follow-up of carious lesions. It has also been proven that removal of soft tissues (especially the cheek) from the area where the X-ray beam is directed improves the quality of the X-ray of the bone and the contrast of the picture, thereby it increases the sensitivity of a bitewing.

Removal of soft tissues seems to have a more important effect on the quality of the picture than the time extension of the X-ray exposure.

Bitewing X-ray is the second-best method in the follow-up of the degree of atrophy of alveolar bone of the jaw and alveolar part of the mandible, second only to periapical radiographs. Bitewings are more sensitive than clinical examination in the assessment of primary caries on approximal and occlusal surfaces. The sensitivity of approximal caries detection is 2 times higher in bitewing radiography compared to clinical diagnosis; in other words, half of approximal cavities are not diagnosed in clinical examination.

One of the newest inventions is the technique of extraoral bitewing X-ray with a reduced dose of radiation for the patient. This type of X-ray is easier to be taken with patients with whom it is not possible to apply the standard method of taking bitewing X-rays; e.g. with patients with a strong gagging reflex, patients with spasms of the jaw muscles (trismus) or people with mental disorders. However, extraoral bitewing is not as effective in diagnosing tooth decay as intraoral bitewing radiograph.

The results of the survey may stem from the fact that tooth decay is so advanced in Poland when reported and inflammatory changes of the apical periodontium are so extended that these force doctors to use periapical radiograph, which additionally shows this area, unlike bitewings.

**Conclusions**

Despite many advantages, the results of the survey show that doctors do not prescribe bitewing X-ray. One can come to the conclusion that the longer the seniority of the dentists, the more frequently they tend to forget about the qualities and indications in ordering bitewing radiographs.
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