Abstract

Background. Fluoride varnishes are commonly used in the prevention of caries.

Objectives. To compare the newly introduced plates for mandibular condyle neck fracture treatment.

Material and methods. The measured amounts of Colgate® Duraphat® 50 mg/mL Varnish Dental Suspension containing sodium fluoride 5% (22.600 ppm F) were applied on the teeth of 10 subjects and onto 30 specimens prepared from extracted human teeth. Levels of fluoride release in vivo study were assessed in unstimulated saliva at the baseline and after 1, 2 and 168 h from the application, and in vitro study after 1, 2, 24, 48 and 168 h from the baseline with the use of ion specific electrode. The specimens were immersed into artificial saliva with pH adjusted to 4, 5 and 7, 10 specimens per each medium, and stored in room temperature.

Results. Under in vivo conditions, after 1 h following the application, the fluoride level increased 16-fold. After 2 h it slightly dropped to 15-fold higher, and after 168 h to 5-fold higher from the baseline (0.55 ±0.49 ppm). Under in vitro conditions, the cumulative fluoride release within 168 h was the highest to the medium with pH 4 (9.95 ppm), slight lower with pH 5 (9.39 ppm) and substantially lower with pH 7 (5.72 ppm). Regression analysis showed that fluoride release into artificial saliva was associated with time and pH; however, the acidity of the medium showed the higher impact than the time of release.

Conclusions. The varnish released the maximum amount of fluoride to saliva within the first hours after application and the levels decreased at each period thereafter. Under in vivo conditions, a single application of the varnish maintained the salivary fluoride levels above the baseline up to 168 h, whereas under in vitro conditions the release of fluoride from the varnish was related to the acidity of the immersion medium.
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Currently, more than 30 fluoride varnishes are available on the market, varying in composition and delivery system and in the content of fluoride, which is either 2.26%, 0.77% or 0.1%. Some of them, apart from 5% sodium fluoride, can contain additional potentially active chemical compounds (e.g. casein phosphopeptide-amorphous calcium phosphate – ACP-CPP, calcium sodium phosphosilicate – CSPS, tricalcium phosphate – TCP).

Fluoride varnish is a convenient form for topical fluoride application providing prolonged contact of fluoride with the dental surface. The first varnish, marketed in the 1960s, was Duraphat® containing 5% sodium fluoride (2.25%, i.e. 22,600 ppm F) in ethanol-resin (colophonium) system and its yellowish color facilitates the control of application. The second fluoride varnish, introduced in the 1970s, was silane fluoride varnish Fluor Protector® containing 1000 ppm F. Duraphat has been the most commonly used fluoride varnish and has been subjected to many in vivo and in vitro studies. It is indicated for both caries control and dentin hypersensitivity.

The Cochrane reviews on the use of fluoride therapies in caries prevention concluded that fluoride varnishes applied 2–4 times a year substantially reduces carious lesions in children by 37% in primary dentition and by 43% in permanent dentition. The expert panel of the American Dental Association (ADA), based on a meta-analysis of numerous studies, confirmed with moderate certainty the benefit of 2.26% fluoride varnish applied at least twice per year for caries prevention in the primary teeth among children aged 6 months to 8 years and for caries prevention in permanent teeth among children aged 5 to 15 years, but with low certainty for root caries prevention in adults.

The aim of the study was to assess fluoride release under in vivo and in vitro conditions after a single application of Colgate Duraphat Varnish 50 mg/mL Dental Suspension.

Material and methods

Fluoride varnish Colgate Duraphat Varnish 50 mg/mL Dental Suspension containing 1 mL 50 mg of sodium fluoride equivalent to 22.6 mg of fluoride was used to assess fluoride release under in vivo and in vitro conditions. The other ingredients of this product are ethanol 96%, white wax, shellac, colophony, mastic, saccharin, and raspberry essence. Ten young adult volunteers were involved in the in vivo study. They fulfilled the following criteria: age over 18, at least 24 natural teeth, no unfilled carious decays, no prosthetic appliances, orthodontic appliances, gingivitis or periodontitis and mucositis as well as no asthma or allergies, and no reported professionally applied fluoride specimens in the period of the last 6 months. Fluoride varnish was applied on dried labial/buccal and occlusal dental surfaces. The subjects were asked to refrain from food and beverages consumption for at least 1 h after the procedure. Before and after application of the measured amount of fluoride varnish, samples of unstimulated mixed saliva were collected from the subjects at time point 0 (the baseline), and 1, 2 and 168 h later. In centrifuged salivary samples, fluoride levels (expressed in ppm) were assessed with the use of ionic selective electrode (Orion® 9609). Material for the in vitro study comprised 15 extracted human third molars, obtained with the patient’s permission, with sound enamel, free of carious lesions, demineralization and enamel defects, which were stored in thymolized saline until use. Two 5 × 5 mm sections were cut from each tooth. There were 3 groups with 10 specimens; each consisted of 5 buccal and 5 lingual enamel surfaces. Samples were rinsed and cleaned to remove debris, and then dried. Red nail lacquer was applied to all dentine surfaces leaving the enamel surface exposed. The amount of fluoride varnish painted on each specimen was measured by weighing the specimen with the use of an analytical balance before and after the application of, on the average, 0.01 (0.003) mL, i.e. 0.226 mg of fluoride. The painted specimens were immersed into 5 mL of artificial saliva with pH adjusted to pH 4, 5 and 7, with 10 specimens per each medium at a different pH, and stored in room temperature with agitation. Artificial saliva consisted of NaCl (0.4 g), KCl (4.0 g), urea (1 g), NaH₂PO₄·2H₂O and CaCl₂·2H₂O, and 1 M NaOH or 1 M HCl to adjust pH to 4.0, 5.0 or 7.0. At the determined testing intervals (i.e. after 1, 2, 24, 48 and 168 h from the baseline), the specimens were transferred to 5 mL of fresh artificial saliva in new vials. The fluoride levels in the left media were assessed with the use of an ionic selective electrode. The cumulative release of fluoride ions and their emission between the measurements at each of the time points was expressed as ppm.

The study protocol was approved by the Bioethics Committee of the Wroclaw Medical University (KB 45/2016).

Statistical analysis

The obtained data was analyzed for normality and equality of variance, and the means were compared using a one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s post hoc test using Statistica 12.0 software. The null hypothesis, verified by multiple regression analysis, posited that there would be significant differences in fluoride ions release associated with the time from application, amount of varnish used, salivary fluoride level at baseline, and pH of artificial saliva. For all statistical tests, the significance level was set at p < 0.05.

Results

In vivo study

In the clinical study, the mean amount of the applied varnish was 0.17 (0.05) mL, i.e. 3.86 (1.06) mg of fluoride. Salivary fluoride level at the baseline was 0.11 (0.04) ppm,
ranging from 0.06 to 0.18 ppm. After 1 h following the fluoride varnish treatment, the concentration increased ca. 16-fold, and was 1.78 (0.63) ppm. After the next hour (2 h following the application), it dropped slightly to 15-fold higher (1.65 (1.01) ppm) and after 168 h from the baseline to 5-fold higher (0.55 (0.49) ppm) (Fig. 1).

Data of the regression analysis (Table 1) showed that none of the calculated regression coefficients for the analyzed variables was statistically significant (p > 0.05); therefore, it was not possible to construct a prediction model of fluoride release to saliva. Admittedly, the rate of reduction of salivary fluoride release following the use of varnish was rather high. The regression coefficient b was 0.0039 ppm per hour, but it was not statistically significant (p = 0.063 > 0.05).

**In vitro study**

In the in vitro study, the mean amount of applied varnish was 0.010 (0.003) mL, i.e. 0.226 (0.067) mg of fluoride, and did not differ significantly between groups. Cumulative fluoride release showed that the lowest ions emission was to the artificial saliva with pH 7 and only slightly lower at pH 5 compared to the medium with pH 4 (Fig. 2).

Fluoride release between the measurement time points is seen in Table 2. Greater ion emission was observed between 1 and 2 h than within 1 h from the time the samples were placed into artificial saliva with pH 4 and 5, contrary to the medium with pH 7, where the utmost increase was noticed after 1 h.

Data of regression analysis showed that the reduction of fluoride release to the artificial saliva was associated with time and pH. The acidity of the medium presented the higher impact than the time of release (Table 3).

**Discussion**

The integrity of the tooth hard tissues is associated with the saturation of the surrounding oral fluids with calcium and phosphate ions in relation to the dental

---

**Table 1.** Results of multiple regression analysis for in vivo study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>F (ppm)</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>SEb</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Free term</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>0.889</td>
<td>0.951</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F0 (ppm)</td>
<td>4.974</td>
<td>3.977</td>
<td>0.219</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fa (ppm)</td>
<td>0.143</td>
<td>0.155</td>
<td>0.362</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t (hour)</td>
<td>-0.004</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2.** Mean values of fluoride release (ppm) in vitro for the time periods between

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Artificial saliva</th>
<th>1 hour</th>
<th>1 and 2 h</th>
<th>2 and 24 h</th>
<th>24 and 48 h</th>
<th>48 and 168 h</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH4</td>
<td>x (SD)</td>
<td>x (SD)</td>
<td>x (SD)</td>
<td>x (SD)</td>
<td>x (SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH5</td>
<td>1.19 (0.30)</td>
<td>2.11 (0.61)</td>
<td>3.31 (1.03)</td>
<td>2.19 (1.04)</td>
<td>0.58 (0.17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH7</td>
<td>1.16 (0.52)</td>
<td>0.98 (0.78)</td>
<td>1.73 (1.06)</td>
<td>1.18 (0.30)</td>
<td>0.67 (0.17)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3.** Results of multiple regression analysis for in vitro study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>F (ppm)</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>SEb</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Free term</td>
<td>3.542</td>
<td>0.318</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>-0.288</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t (hours)</td>
<td>-0.007</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F = 3.54 – 0.0071 × t – 0.288 × pH.
mineral. The chemical equilibrium from a saturated state with respect to hydroxyapatite to an unsaturated state is changed due to the formation of acids in the course of metabolism of dietary fermentable carbohydrates by dental biofilm bacteria. If the local pH at the tooth-biofilm interface drops lower than the critical pH 5.5, the solution is undersaturated and the mineral will tend to dissolve until the solution becomes saturated, i.e. a new saturation state is re-establish (demineralization). Conversely, if the pH of the solution is above the critical pH, then the solution is supersaturated with respect to the mineral, and more mineral will tend to precipitate out (remineralization). The presence of fluoride ions inhibits demineralization at the crystal hydroxyapatite surfaces during acid challenge and enhances the remineralization processes forming a layer of fluorapatite-like material on the crystal surfaces. The ability of fluoride to modify the demineralization-remineralization processes depends on the fluoride ions delivery from the used product and their presence in the oral environment at the proper time and concentration. In vitro studies suggest that even low salivary fluoride levels can reduce demineralization and enhance remineralization. After fluoride varnish application, salivary fluoride levels represent the fluoride available for caries prevention. Based on in vitro studies, fluoride levels exceeding 0.03 ppm in the surrounding solutions of the dental hard tissues result in caries prevention. The main cariostatic mechanism of fluoride varnish is the formation of calcium fluoride-like globules as well as fluoride uptake into the enamel with fluorapatite formation. The deposited globules act as a fluoride reservoir releasing over time calcium and fluoride ions during acids attack, and providing durable cariostatic effect. Fluoride varnishes could also have some antibacterial influence; however, the obtained data is inconsistent. Some studies have not reported any effect on the levels of Streptococcus mutans in salivary or dental plaque, but others presented some inhibitory impact under in vivo and in vitro conditions. Studies carried out under in vitro conditions presented rapid fluoride release to the medium from fluoride varnishes within the first hours and slower release thereafter, lasting up to 6 months. Our data confirmed the highest fluoride release to artificial saliva during the first 2 h independently on the medium pH. However, different brand varnishes despite the same concentration of fluoride (2.26% as sodium fluoride) can release differing amounts of fluoride ions. Shen and Autoio-Gold noticed a lower percentage of fluoride release to artificial saliva from Duraphat in comparison to Duraflo® and CavityShield® varnishes but similar slowdown ions emission within 7 to 213 h. Milburn et al. examining fluoride release into artificial saliva from Duraphat varnish found that the mean cumulative fluoride release was 1.028 ±0.174 ppm, the rate of fluoride depletion over the first 4 h 0.126 ppm, and no detectable fluoride ions emission at three weeks. In contrast to the data, our results displayed higher cumulative fluoride release within the first 2 h. Castillo and Milgrom, and Jablonowski and Bartoloni noticed sustained and gradual fluoride release from Duraphat varnish. Lippert observed that fluoride release from some fluoride varnishes varied considerably, and it was dependent on the pH of the dissolution medium. Fluoride varnishes CavityShield, Nupro®, ProFluorid® and Vanish® showed higher fluoride release to saliva than during the first 5 min of acid exposure, whereas other varnishes (Accelean, Enamel-Pro®, MI Varnish, Vella®) revealed the opposite behavior. Our data also showed that the acidity of artificial saliva was associated with levels of fluoride release. Regression analysis displayed that the acidity of the medium had a greater impact than the immersion time of fluoride varnish in the medium.

However, the fluoride levels measured in the in vitro models have no exact clinical implication. In a clinical setting, a reduction of fluoride levels would be more rapid due to the effects of saliva on fluoride retention, along with the effects of such oral functions as including chewing, swallowing, dietary acid challenges, teeth brushing, flossing and tongue movement. Additionally, saliva is constantly changing in terms of temperature and pH due to food consumption. Therefore, due to numerous variables there is not possibility to predict a pattern of fluoride release and retention in saliva on an individual level as we have shown in our study. Hence, fluoride release into artificial saliva is no measure for the efficacy of a fluoride varnish. Twetman et al. assessed fluoride concentration in whole saliva after a single application of 3 different varnishes with various fluoride concentration 6% (Bifulorid® 12), 2.26% (Duraphat) and 0.1% (Fluor-Protector). They found a significant elevation of fluoride in saliva 1 h after application of Bifulorid 12 and Duraphat, which lasted 6 h. Our data displayed a substantial increase of salivary fluoride within the first 2 h after the varnish application, which decreased with the time; however, after 168 h it was still higher compared to the baseline. Therefore, at least within 7 days (168 h) a single application of the fluoride varnish increases the fluoride in saliva on the cariostatic level (i.e. over 0.03 ppm).

Conclusions

Under in vitro conditions, fluoride release from Colgate Duraphat Varnish 50 mg/mL Dental Suspension was dependent on the acidity of the immersion medium. Under in vivo conditions, fluoride release from the varnish was maintained above the baseline the salivary fluoride levels up to 168 h (i.e. 7 days). The varnish released the maximum amount of fluoride into the saliva in the first hours after application, and the levels decreased at each period thereafter.
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